

Dkt. No.	Motion	The Court's Order
		All other aspects of the motion are tabled for a future trial.
171	Impinj's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement and no Pre-Suit Damages	DENIED.
173	Impinj's Motion to Exclude Certain Opinions of David Haas	DENIED.
175	Impinj's Motion to Strike	<p>Sections B1, B4, C and E are DENIED.</p> <p>Section C is DENIED, but NXP cannot argue that checking whether a power adequacy condition is met excludes checking of voltage.</p> <p>All other sections of the motion are tabled to be fully addressed at a future trial.</p>
177	Impinj's Motion to Exclude Certain Testimony	DENIED.
178	NXP's Motion to Exclude Certain Testimony	<p>Motion No. 1 DENIED.</p> <p>Motion No. 2 DENIED based on granting of Motion No. 1 of Dkt. 170. To the extent that Order is reversed, Motion No. 2 will be revisited.</p> <p>Motion No. 3 GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART.</p> <p>Section C.3 of Motion No. 3 seeking exclusion of infringement theories for the UCODE 7xm/7xm+ products is GRANTED. All other sections of Motion No. 3 related to the '251, '835, and '227 patents are DENIED.</p> <p>All other sections of Motion No. 3 related to patents other than the '251, '835, and '227 patents are tabled to be fully addressed at a future trial.</p>
179	Impinj's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Affirmative Case)	<p>DENIED.</p> <p>The Court holds that the preamble of claim 8 for the '251 patent, the "RFID transponder" is not limiting.</p>

Dkt. No.	Motion	The Court's Order
		<p>The Court holds a processor is not limited to a component that executes software or is otherwise configurable.</p> <p>Impinj's motion for summary judgment of infringement of the '251 patent is DENIED.</p> <p>Impinj's motion for summary judgment of infringement of the '835 patent is DENIED.</p> <p>Impinj's motion for summary judgment that the '251, '227, and '835 patents are not necessary to practice the Gen2 protocol is DENIED.</p> <p>Impinj's motion seeking to dismiss NXP's defenses and counterclaims related the Gen 2 necessary practice defenses is DENIED.</p> <p>All other aspects of the motion are tabled for consideration at a future trial.</p>
214	Impinj's Motion to Amend Infringement Contentions re '251 and '835 Patents	<p>The motion on the '251 patent is DENIED.</p> <p>The motion relating to the '835 patent is GRANTED insofar as Impinj may assert indirect infringement of claim 41, but Impinj shall not be required to formally amend its infringement contentions.</p>

MOTIONS IN LIMINE

Dkt. No.	Motion	The Court's Order
249	NXP's Motions <i>in Limine</i>	<p>GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART.</p> <p>Motion No. 2 is GRANTED for lack of opposition.</p> <p>Motions 1, 3 and 4 are DENIED, but objections may be raised at trial.</p>

250	Impinj's Motions <i>in Limine</i>	Motions Nos. 2 through 4 are denied but objections may be raised at trial. Motion No. 1 was AGREED by the parties and Motion No. 5 was WITHDRAWN by Impinj.
262	Impinj's Motion Strike or, in the Alternative, for Leave to File Sur-Reply	GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART. Motion to Strike is DENIED Motions for Leave to File Sur-Reply is GRANTED, and the clerk is instructed to file 262-1, Exhibit 1, Impinj's Sur-reply on the docket.

SIGNED this 17th day of October, 2023.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Derek T. Gilliland", is written over a horizontal line.

DEREK T. GILLILAND
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE